

# **HOUSE BUDGET COMMITTEE**

## **Democratic Caucus**

The Honorable John M. Spratt Jr. # Ranking Democratic Member

214 O'Neill HOB # Washington, DC 20515 # 202-226-7200 # www.house.gov/budget\_democrats

March 9, 2001

## **Medicare** — The HI Trust Fund Surplus

#### **Background**

- Current Law Separates the Financing of Medicare Part A and Part B The Administration's budget presents the financing of the Medicare program as a unified package. They claim that, because expenses exceed revenues in Part B (SMI) of Medicare, that there is no true Medicare surplus. This argument ignores the fact that since the inception of Medicare in 1965 the law has established separate financing for Part A (HI) and Part B (SMI).
- **Current Financing Part A** is financed through payroll taxes and a portion of the income tax on social security paid by those whose incomes are higher than average.

**Part B** is financed by premiums equal to 25 percent of program costs (adjusted annually) and by general revenues equaling the remaining 75 percent of program costs.

#### The 2002 Bush Budget

- **The Illusion of a Deficit in the Trust Fund** Simply declaring that Part A and Part B funds are merged and in deficit does not equal a deficit. It does not wipe out the financing in current law which clearly separates the two programs.
  - The budget does not propose merging the Part A and Part B Medicare programs. It simply presents the financing as merged so that they can ignore the entire ten-year surplus of \$526 billion in the Part A Trust Fund for the convenience of being able to spend it on programs other than current Medicare benefits.
- **Diversion of Part A Trust Fund** Instead of reserving the Part A Trust Fund, the Bush budget diverts the entire Part A Medicare Trust Fund into their "\$842 billion contingency fund" which may be used for tax cuts and a variety of undefined purposes other than current Medicare benefits.

**HBC Democratic Staff**